

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,353	07/03/2001	Lee Edward Macklin	10011137-1	1057
22879	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPA	WEAVER, SCOTT LOUIS		
	2400, 3404 E. HARMO TUAL PROPERTY AD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-2400	2645	6	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/898,353	MACKLIN, LEE EDWARD			
p Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott L. Weaver	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a pich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Active event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the date set forth in the date of the set forth in the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>27 and 16-1</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)		ed in a separate, timely filed			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims version.	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or l would be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and an low or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 : 2			
10. Other:		Scott L. Weaver			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claim 26 raises issue for new search in that claim 26 leaves out some limitations of previous claim 25 including 'responding to' voice activation command rather than as now presented responding to a selection which 'includes' (and therefore may now include other things) voice activation command; The remarks directed toward claim 28 can not be agreed with by the examiner as reasons for allowing that claim as the claim merely states the video data is from the incoming call and the reference clearly teaches the display of incoming call data(col.5,ln.21-24), since that data is displayed it is considered video data, the claim does not limit the term 'video' to defeinitely being full motion video or still image (picture) as may be the intent. The remarks directed toward claim 20 can not be agreed with by the examiner as reasons for allowing that claim as the reference clearly teaches enabling selection via visual display of recorded message, the computer system clearly responds by playing and retrieving the selected message, the claim language does not limit the term 'interrupt' any further than requiring such to be a cause for a funciton to be performed and each of those funcitons is clearly taught by the reference.